CF 100

(1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 866 /2004

PETITIONER

P. R. No. 846/04 - Mahesh Pandey
Presented by Shri ....
dated 19.03.04

M/s. J. P. Agrawal,
A registered Firm- Head Office-
Amarkantak, Distt.: Shahdol,
through its Partner,
Shri Gayaprasad Agrawal,
Aged about 39 years,
S/o Late Shri J.P.Agrawal,
R/O Amarkantak Road, Pendra,
District : Bilaspur (C.G.).

Versus

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
Housing, Urban Administrative and
Environmental, Govt. of Chhattisgarh,
DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur(C.G.)

2. The Collector,
Bilaspur, Distt. : Bilaspur (C.G.).

3.The Nagar Panchayat, Gaurella,
Through the Chief Municipal Officer,
Gaurella, Pendra,
Distt. : Bilaspur (C.G.).

4. M/s. New Narmada Transport
Co.,Pendra Road through its
Proprietor Sukdev Singh,
R/O Amarkantak Road, Pendra,
Distt. : Bilaspur (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY 19/3/04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

(105)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 866/04 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19.7.2005 When the matter is called out, none present on behalf of the petitioner. No representation is made. Shri Sanjay K Agarwal, counsel for respondent No.3. Shri Sunil Mersa, counsel for respondent No.4. Counsel for respondent No.4 submits that the matter pertains to contract for the year 2003. He further submits that since the petitioner was not a registered contractor in the State of Chhattisgarh, therefore, his tender was not accepted. He further submits that work has already been executed by respondent No.4 and even in the year 2003 the petitioner has withdrawn the earnest money which was deposited by him. In view of the above fact, this petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

(पीछे देखिये)